

NOTICE

This example bundle is not supposed to represent the only way to create a legal bundle. The Bar & Chambers division is sharing it with you in order to avoid any confusion as to the structure of a bundle. There are many different ways to create a legal bundle, and other options can be found online.

Thank you,

The Bar & Chambers

Example Coversheet

IN THE UK SUPREME COURT

BETWEEN

_____	<u>APPELLANT</u>
- V -	
_____	<u>RESPONDENT</u>

**Bundle of Authorities on behalf of the Junior/Senior
Respondent/Appellant**

Contents Page:

1. Skeleton arguments

2. The moot problem

3. Authorities for the junior/senior respondent/appellant

a. Example

b. Example

c. Example

4. Authorities for the junior/senior respondent/appellant

a. Note: check the competition rulebook to see if you are required to submit your authorities individually or in combined sections

b. Example

c. Example

d. Example

IN THE UK SUPREME COURT

BETWEEN

_____ **APPELLANT**

- v -

_____ **RESPONDENT**

Skeleton Argument on behalf of the Senior/Junior Respondent/Appellant

_____ representing the Senior/Junior Respondent/Appellant

First Ground of Appeal:

A) The box of chocolates should not be held to constitute consideration.

1. The box of chocolates was not a term agreed to, and therefore is only a gift which is not part of the contract.

- a. The contract was accepted during the telephone call (*Brinkibon Ltd v Stahag Stahl und Stahlwarenhandelsgesellschaft mbH* [1983] 2 AC 34, HL)
- b. *Jacobs v Batavia & General Plantations Trust Ltd* ([1924] 2 Ch. 329) held that the ‘parol evidence rule’ applied.
- c. Social agreements are not held to have an intention to create legal intentions (*Coward v Motor Insurers Bureau* [1963] 1 QB 259)

2. A promise to accept less for the same is unenforceable for want of consideration.

- a. According to *Foakes v Beer* [1884] 9 App Cas 605 HL, a promise to accept part-payment of a debt in discharge of the whole debt lacks consideration and is unenforceable.
- b. This case can be distinguished from *MWB Business Exchange Centres Ltd v Rock Advertising Ltd* [2018] UKSC 24 because the practical benefit does not amount to a legal benefit.

Include the Moot Problem now.

**INCLUDE THE RELEVANT AUTHORITIES NOW IN THE ORDER OF USE
IN YOUR SKELETON ARGUMENT.**